

Recommendations					IAC Report		YRC Response		
Number	Status	Category	Sub-category	IAC Recommendation	Summary of Ministers Response	(iv) Findings	YRC Response	Related Tasks	Resources
1	Primary	Yarra Ranges National Park	Project modification	Remove Trails 1, 45, 46 and 47 from the Project, as they pose an unacceptable residual risk of significant impact to Cool Temperate Rainforest and Cool Temperate Mixed Forest stands located in the Yarra Ranges National Park.	Supported, as set out within the findings of this assessment.	(i) Findings The IAC finds: • Potential effects from direct clearing of CTR/CTMF are low when considered as a proportional impact at either a bioregional or local scale. The alternate trail alignment would have substantially less impact on CTR/CTMF from direct removal than Trail 1. • However the significance of these communities is greater than proportional bioregional or local impacts indicate, due to the unique habitat they provide as compared to other areas of CTR elsewhere in the state. • There is potential for significant effects on stands of CTR/CTMF in the National Park from the introduction or spread of Myrtle wilt or other pathogens, and no certainty as to the likely effectiveness of mitigation measures in reducing the risks or impacts of Myrtle wilt and Phytophthora. • Trails 1 and 45 to 47 that traverse CTR/CTMF stands pose an unacceptable residual risk. They fail to meet the evaluation objective, and should be removed. • Trails that traverse Wet Forest or Montane Wet Forest areas that are located near CTR/CTMF stands in the National Park require careful consideration in the pre-construction surveys and the micro-siting process. A new mitigation measure is needed in the CEMP to deal with this.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The project and the CEMP will be updated accordingly. The new recommended mitigation measure (BM39B - CTR/CTMF and Myrtle Beech buffers) has been accepted and will be included in the CEMP.	Mitigation measure to be added to CEMP	
2	Primary	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F. Ensure that the various plans to be approved under the Incorporated Document are consistent with the revised Environmental Management Framework, including the IAC's recommended changes to the mitigation measures.	Supported, subject to the inclusion of the additional amendments I have recommended in Table 3.	(i) Findings The IAC finds: • Potential effects from direct clearing of CTR/CTMF are low when considered as a proportional impact at either a bioregional or local scale. The alternate trail alignment would have substantially less impact on CTR/CTMF from direct removal than Trail 1. • However the significance of these communities is greater than proportional bioregional or local impacts indicate, due to the unique habitat they provide as compared to other areas of CTR elsewhere in the state. • There is potential for significant effects on stands of CTR/CTMF in the National Park from the introduction or spread of Myrtle wilt or other pathogens, and no certainty as to the likely effectiveness of mitigation measures in reducing the risks or impacts of Myrtle wilt and Phytophthora. • Trails 1 and 45 to 47 that traverse CTR/CTMF stands pose an unacceptable residual risk. They fail to meet the evaluation objective, and should be removed. • Trails that traverse Wet Forest or Montane Wet Forest areas that are located near CTR/CTMF stands in the National Park require careful consideration in the pre-construction surveys and the micro-siting process. A new mitigation measure is needed in the CEMP to deal with this.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The Council response to the EMF amendments suggested by the Minister in other rows of this table below. Note: Council interprets the reference to Table 3 to be an error and that the reference should be to Table 5 of the Ministers Assessment	Update CEMP, OEMP and incorporated document	
3	Primary	Yarra Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment	PSA amendment	Amend draft Yarra Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment C198Yran as follows: • Amend the text of the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix G. • Amend the exhibited Special Controls Overlap mapping to: • remove Trails 1, 45, 46 and 47 • include the whole of the Warburton Golf Course site at 17 Dammans Road, Warburton • include the land required for the trail heads at Wesburn Park and Mount Tugwell and the two bridges proposed to be constructed as part of the Project.	Generally supported, subject to the inclusion of the additional amendments I have recommended in Table 3. For recommendation 3b I do not support extending the SCO to include the whole of the Warburton Golf Course. The SCO should only be applied to the section of land on the golf course that is to be constructed and used for the project.	The IAC finds: • The planning controls in the draft PSA constitute an appropriate mechanism to facilitate the Project. • The exhibited SCO mapping should be adjusted to: - apply the SCO to the whole of the Golf Course site - apply the SCO to the land needed for the Wesburn Park and Mount Tugwell trail heads and the two bridges proposed to be constructed as part of the Project • remove the SCO from Trail 1 and Trails 45 to 47. • The Incorporated Document should be the Day 1 version (D48), with: - further modifications as recommended by the IAC - the Road Zone Category 1 reference updated to reflect changes to the Planning Scheme made by VC205 (which replaced the	The Ministers response to the recommendations have been accepted. The Council response to the draft Yarra Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment C198Yran amendments suggested by the Minister in Table 5 are outlined below. In line with the ministers response the SCO at Warburton Golf course will be adjusted to cover only the section of land on the golf course that is to be constructed and used for the project. Council is seeking to exise the land required for the Wesburn Park trail head from the SCO documentation, as the existing Wesburn Park Master Plan and associated controls cover this part of the project.	Update draft Yarra Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment C198Yran	
4	Primary	Bushfire risk	Land	Seek agreement with Parks Victoria to align the closure of	Supported.	The IAC finds:	Council will seek to align closure of mountain bike trails with Parks	Seek agreement with	
1	Other	Planning scheme	PSA	Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix	Supported. I also recommend adding to	(iv) Findings	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The	Update incorporated	
2	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: • in Section 16.3.3 (Construction): a) insert a new mitigation measure BM19A (Calculating native vegetation offsets) b) amend mitigation measure BM70 (Recording of tree impacts).	Supported.	(iv) Findings The IAC finds: • The approach to estimating the construction footprint for the Project is sound and defensible. • An audit of actual native vegetation losses would be appropriate following construction, to ensure offsets are accurate. • The IAC agrees with Mr Lane's evidence that the calculation methods under Appendix 3 B.3 of the Native Vegetation Handbook would be most appropriate for the Project, rather than Appendix 3 B.1. The native vegetation losses should be recalculated accordingly. • A new mitigation measure should be added to the CEMP to address the two previous findings. • BM70 should be amended to reflect the requirement in the micro-siting protocol for an ecologist to consider the need for additional offsets if it becomes apparent during micro-siting that trees will need to be removed or excessively lopped during construction.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The new recommended CEMP mitigation measure (BM19A (Calculating native vegetation offsets) has been accepted and will be included in the CEMP. The recommended amendment to mitigation measure BM70 (Recording of tree impacts) has been accepted and the CEMP will be updated accordingly.	Update CEMP	

Recommendations				IAC Report		YRC Response	
3	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.3 (Construction): • amend mitigation measure BM62 (Habitat trees) b) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • amend mitigation measure BM62 (Habitat trees) • amend mitigation measure BM10 (Trail maintenance).	Supported. Minor realignment of the trails should also be considered in such cases.	(iv) Findings The IAC finds: • The IAC does not consider the EES has adequately assessed the potential impacts from hazardous tree removal. The EES has assumed no removal or excessive lopping will be required. This seems unlikely. • An assessment of potential hazardous tree removal should be undertaken before construction starts. This assessment should inform required offsets. • Hazardous trees removed in the operations phase should be recorded, and the offsets adjusted accordingly if required. This will be addressed by the IAC's recommended changes to BM70 (Recording of tree impacts) discussed in the previous chapter. • BM62 should be amended to ensure trail closure (as an option to avoid tree removal) is considered if high habitat value trees are identified as hazardous. • Subject to recommended changes, the proposed mitigation measures will reduce the impacts of potential hazardous tree removal to an acceptable level. • Fine sawdust can be avoided through well-maintained equipment. Changes are recommended to BM10 to ensure this is required.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The recommended amendments to mitigation measures BM62 (Habitat trees) and BM10 (trail maintenance) have been accepted and the CEMP and OEMP will be updated accordingly.	Update CEMP and OEMP
4	Other	Planning scheme	PSA Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix	The IAC's recommendation that the	(iv) Findings	The Ministers response to the recommendations have been accepted. The	Update incorporated
5	Other	Yarra Ranges	Project Modify the Project as follows:	Supported, as set out within the	(i) Findings	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The	Update CEMP and OEMP
6	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.3 (Construction): • insert a new mitigation measure BM39B (CTR/CTMF and Myrtle Beech buffers) • amend mitigation measure BM43 (Pruning of Myrtle Beech) b) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • amend mitigation measure BM43 (Pruning of Myrtle Beech)	Supported. In order to avoid the introduction of Myrtle Wilt in the area of Cool Temperate Mixed Forest near Trail 50, the elevated trail structure already planned at Calder Creek also needs to be extended through the area of Cool Temperate Mixed Forest intersected by this trail and be completed in a manner that avoids damage to any Myrtle Beech trees and drip-lines.	(i) Findings The IAC finds: • Potential effects from direct clearing of CTR/CTMF are low when considered as a proportional impact at either a bioregional or local scale. The alternate trail alignment would have substantially less impact on CTR/CTMF from direct removal than Trail 1. • However the significance of these communities is greater than proportional bioregional or local impacts indicate, due to the unique habitat they provide as compared to other areas of CTR elsewhere in the state. • There is potential for significant effects on stands of CTR/CTMF in the National Park from the introduction or spread of Myrtle wilt or other pathogens, and no certainty as to the likely effectiveness of mitigation measures in reducing the risks or impacts of Myrtle wilt and Phytophthora. • Trails 1 and 45 to 47 that traverse CTR/CTMF stands pose an unacceptable residual risk. They fail to meet the evaluation objective, and should be removed. • Trails that traverse Wet Forest or Montane Wet Forest areas that are located near CTR/CTMF stands in the National Park require careful consideration in the pre-construction surveys and the micro-siting process. A new mitigation measure is needed in the CEMP to deal with this.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The new recommended CEMP mitigation measure (BM39B (CTR/CTMF and Myrtle Beech buffers)) has been accepted and will be included in the CEMP. The recommended amendment to mitigation measure BM43 (Pruning of Myrtle Beech) has been accepted and the CEMP and OEMP will be updated accordingly.	Update CEMP and OEMP
7	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment If Recommendation 5 is not accepted, amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.3 (Construction): • amend mitigation measure SWM02 (Erosion and sediment controls) • amend mitigation measure SWM07 (Adhere to Stonefly no-go zones) b) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • amend mitigation measure SWM02 (Erosion and sediment controls) • amend mitigation measure SWM07 (Adhere to Stonefly no-go zones) • amend mitigation measure BM61A (MDBWS) • amend mitigation measure BM61B (MDBWS monitoring) • insert a new mitigation measure BM61C (MDBWS proactive measures)	Supported. The IAC's recommended changes to SWM07 should also apply to the upper sections of Trails 5, 6 and 8, to assist in avoiding and minimising impacts on the Mount Donna Buang Wingless Stonefly.	(i) Findings The IAC finds: • The Mount Donna Buang Wingless Stonefly is a species of significance to science and is highly sensitive and vulnerable to environmental changes. • The existing nomination for EPBC Act listing does not affect the existing controlled action decision as per section 158A of the EPBC Act. This does not change the IAC's view of the significance of the species. • Due to the highly sensitive nature of the species and the unknown thresholds for impact, there is uncertainty as to whether the proposed mitigation measures (including Council's proposed adaptive monitoring and management approach) will appropriately mitigate potential significant effects. • Instead, Stonefly no-go zones (that include known Stonefly locations and suitable habitat) should be applied. No Project activities should be allowed within the no-go zones. Trails that intersect the no-go zones should be realigned or removed. • Based on the information before the IAC, it appears that Trails 1, 45, 46 and possibly also 47 are the only trails that are likely to intersect Stonefly no-go zones, although this cannot be confirmed without comprehensive Stonefly habitat mapping. • If Trails 1 and 45 to 47 are removed pursuant to the IAC's Recommendation 5, the Stonefly mitigation measures may not be required. However if Recommendation 5 is not accepted, the Stonefly mitigation measures need to be strengthened.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The new recommended OEMP mitigation measure (BM61C (MDBWS proactive measures)) has been accepted and will be included in the OEMP. The recommended amendments to mitigation measure SWM02 (Erosion and sediment controls), SWM07 (Adhere to Stonefly no-go zones) BM61A (MDBWS) and BM61B (MDBWS monitoring) have been accepted and the CEMP and OEMP will be updated accordingly.	Update CEMP and OEMP

Recommendations				IAC Report		YRC Response	
8	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • amend mitigation measure BM67 (Native vegetation removal)	Supported. To increase the effectiveness of mitigation of potential impacts of night riding on sensitive nocturnal species, particularly the Leadbeater's Possum, I also recommend BM73 is amended to strictly prohibit night riding across the project's entire trail network.	(vi) Findings The IAC finds: • There is high quality, high value habitat throughout the assessment corridor. • The Project has the potential to degrade this through edge effects and human disturbance. • While the magnitude of potential edge effects will vary depending on the location of the trails in relation to other disturbances and on the sensitivity of specific species. • Except for the trails referred to in Recommendation 5, there was no evidence to suggest that the trails will have unacceptable impacts on habitat through disturbance. • Potential effects of a golf course fence (if required) should be assessed. Details of the golf course fence should therefore be included in the requirements for the Development Plans under Clause 6.1 of the Incorporated Document. • BM67 should be adopted as exhibited, not as shown in the Final Hearing Version of the OEMP.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The recommended amendments to mitigation measure BM67 (Native vegetation removal) and BM73 (night riding) have been accepted and the OEMP will be updated accordingly.	Update OEMP
9	Other	Planning scheme	PSA Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix	Supported.	(vi) Findings	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The	Update incorporated
10	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • amend mitigation measure BM27 (Maintenance schedule for bike washing facilities).	Supported.	The IAC finds: • The Project will likely exacerbate existing issues with weeds, pests and pathogens. This is the most notable cumulative effect of the Project. • The significance of impacts will depend on the values being affected and potential cumulative impacts. The effectiveness of mitigation measures and residual risks will depend on the environmental values. • Except in relation to Myrtle wilt (as discussed in Chapter 7.4), there was no evidence to suggest that the residual impacts caused by weeds, pests and pathogens (after mitigation measures are applied) will be unacceptable.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The recommended amendments to mitigation measure BM27 (Maintenance schedule for bike washing facilities) have been accepted and the OEMP will be updated accordingly.	Update OEMP
11	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.3 (Construction): • amend mitigation measure BM37 (Timing of construction – waterways) • insert a new mitigation measure BM39A (Burrowing crayfish species) b) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • insert a new mitigation measure BM39A (Burrowing crayfish species).	Supported.	The IAC notes that some of the mitigation measures to reduce threats to crayfish proposed in Technical Appendix A on page 323 have not been included in the CEMP. The IAC recommends new mitigation measures to incorporate these measures that are not covered by generic measures.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The new recommended CEMP / OEMP mitigation measure BM39A (Burrowing crayfish species) has been accepted and will be included in the CEMP and OEMP. The recommended amendments to mitigation measure BM37 (Timing of construction – waterways) has been accepted and the CEMP and OEMP will be updated accordingly.	Update CEMP and OEMP
12	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.3 (Construction): • insert a new mitigation measure BM02A (Preconstruction surveys) • insert a new mitigation measure BM63A (Tree Geebung) • insert a new mitigation measure BM63B (Tree ferns)	Supported. While comprehensive seasonally appropriate pre-construction surveys for all threatened flora and fauna are not required, targeted surveys must be undertaken for those species which have the highest risk of impacts without survey, with the approach to be determined in consultation with and to the satisfaction of DELWP. These may be undertaken during micro-siting, where seasonally appropriate. A suitably qualified, independent ecologist is to be present during all micro-siting to help ensure impacts on threatened flora and fauna are avoided and minimised where possible. I recommend that the hierarchy of values is to be developed in consultation with and to the satisfaction of DELWP Port Phillip Region.	The IAC finds: • The assessment (including surveys) for significant flora and fauna species were appropriate for the purpose of the EES. • Construction and operation activities including habitat removal are unlikely to have a significant impact (on a population level) to significant flora and fauna species. • Seasonally appropriate pre-construction surveys should be undertaken for the entire length of the trails. • Micro-siting protocol would be assisted by a pre-determined hierarchy of values to aid decision-making as to which values to prioritise in the micro-siting process.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The new recommended CEMP mitigation measures BM02A (Preconstruction surveys), BM63A (Tree Geebung) and BM63B (Tree ferns) have been accepted and will be included in the CEMP. The current wording of BM63B (Tree Ferns) cannot be practically applied to the project as large stands of tree ferns exist within the project area with overlapping drip lines. In many of these areas ferns are tall enough that the fronds are outside the vegetation management envelope described in the OEMP and as such will not be subject to contact. Where fronds may intersect the vegetation management envelope it is proposed that regular pruning would be undertaken to avoid ongoing contact. To recognise this situation Council will seek alteration of BM63B in consultation with DEECA Impact Assessment Unit.	Update CEMP
13	Other	Planning scheme	PSA Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix	Supported. Clauses 7.1 and 7.3 should	The IAC finds:	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The	Update incorporated

Recommendations					IAC Report	YRC Response		
14	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • insert a new mitigation measure TP1 (Operations Traffic Management Plan) • amend mitigation measure TP2 (Stakeholder communication plan).	Supported.	<p>The IAC finds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Following implementation of mitigation measures in the CEMP, residual impacts on traffic and transport due to construction of the Project would not be significant due primarily to TP1, which requires a Traffic Management Plan to manage traffic impacts during the construction phase. The Project's traffic impacts during operations are less certain, although based on current information it appears that the operational impact of the Project on traffic is not likely to be significant compared to existing traffic. The current traffic count data presented in the EES is significantly at odds with the experiences described by local residents, casting doubt on the reliability of that data. The IAC is not satisfied that the cumulative impact of the Project with other visitation to Warburton (including non-Project traffic) has been fully considered. Further more contemporary, fine-grained and comprehensive data on existing conditions is required than the SCATS data relied up on Mr Young, to enable a better understanding of the community experience and to ensure that mitigation measures will be effective in minimising the impact of the Project. Critically, a Traffic Management Plan is needed to manage the traffic impacts of the day to day operations of the Project. The OEMP must be amended to require a Traffic Management Plan that implements measures identified by TP2 through TP7 inclusive. The further investigation of existing traffic conditions can be done during the course of the preparation of the Traffic Management Plan for the OEMP. Adjustments are also required to TP2 in the OEMP to require broader, more effective consultation. 	<p>The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The new recommended OEMP mitigation measure TP1 (Operations Traffic Management Plan) has been accepted and will be included in the OEMP. The recommended amendments to mitigation measure TP2 (Stakeholder communication plan) has been accepted and the OEMP will be updated accordingly.</p>	Update OEMP
15	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • amend mitigation measure TP6 (Operational Parking Management Plan).	Supported.	<p>The IAC finds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are existing parking pressures in Warburton and surrounds. These seem to be primarily related to signage and wayfinding. Council should apply its learnings from the traffic and parking challenges experienced from recent developments and other attractions such as Warburton Water World and the Redwood Forest to better minimise the parking impacts of this Project. Parking and the shuttle bus service, including a shuttle bus pickup/drop-off in the town centre, need to be provided from day 1 of operations. The Parking Management Plan should include a requirement to monitor parking demand at the Wesburn Park trail head carpark, and if capacity looks like being exceeded prior to construction of the Golf Course carpark in Stage 2, corrective action needs to be taken. The IAC has recommended adjustments to the Incorporated Document and to TP6 to ensure that this occurs. 	<p>The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The recommended amendments to mitigation measure TP6 (Operational Parking Management Plan) has been accepted and the OEMP will be updated accordingly.</p>	Update OEMP
16	Other	Planning scheme	PSA	Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix	Supported.	<p>The IAC finds:</p>	<p>The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The</p>	Update incorporated
17	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • delete mitigation measure	Supported.	<p>The IAC finds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Event-specific plans are the appropriate tool to consider and manage the traffic impacts of larger events, as provided for in Clause 13.1 of the Incorporated Document (Day 1 version). A requirement for a generic plan for smaller events should be added to Clause 13 of the Incorporated Document. Council is the appropriate body to prepare and approve the Event Management Plans, but with consultation with the relevant authorities. Mitigation measure AM07 should be deleted from the OEMP, and the requirements incorporated into the Event Management Plans required under Clause 13 of the Incorporated Document. 	<p>The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The OEMP will be updated accordingly.</p>	Update OEMP
18	Other	Planning scheme	PSA	Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix	Supported. In addition, noise mitigation	<p>The IAC finds:</p>	<p>The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. Clause</p>	Update incorporated
19	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F:a) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • amend mitigation measure TP7 (Emergency access plan).	Supported.	<p>The IAC finds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TP7 should be strengthened to make an Emergency Access Plan mandatory, and to require the Emergency Access Plan to be prepared prior to construction commencing and reviewed prior to operations commencing. The Emergency Access Plan should be referenced or contained in the Emergency Management Plan. 	<p>The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The recommended amendment to mitigation measure TP7 (Emergency access plan) has been accepted and the OEMP will be updated accordingly.</p>	Update OEMP
20	Other	Yarra Ranges	Land	Seek agreement with Parks Victoria to align the closure of	Supported.	<p>The IAC finds:</p>	<p>Council will seek to align closure of mountain bike trails with Parks</p>	Seek agreement with
21	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Section 16.3.4 (Operations): • amend mitigation measure NM05 (Operational noise – Noise barrier to Martyr Road).	Supported.	<p>The IAC finds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The closure of the National Park and the trails must align. The IAC considers that the fire danger rating of High under the new national rating system is the appropriate trigger for closure of the trails. This should be agreed with Parks Victoria. Closures should be enforced not only by stopping of the shuttle bus service, but also by placing barriers across the trails. The existing emergency marker system should be expanded to include the trail network and trail heads, and appropriately integrated into Victoria's emergency call-taking and response system. Accurate trail conditions, including the fire risk and weather conditions, should be displayed at the trail heads, similar to the display of conditions at beaches and on ski trails. Any landscaping or vegetation planting along Martyr Road be undertaken in a manner that does not increase the bushfire risk to residents. The Golf Course trail head should have a site-based maximum radiant heat exposure of 12.5 kilowatt per square metre. 	<p>The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The recommended amendment to mitigation measure NM05 (Operational noise – Noise barrier to Martyr Road) has been accepted and the OEMP will be updated accordingly.</p>	Update OEMP

Recommendations				IAC Report		YRC Response		
22	Other	Planning scheme	PSA	Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix	Supported.	The IAC finds:	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The	Update incorporated
23	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) in Sections 16.3.3 (Construction) and 16.3.4 (Operations); • delete BM08 (Bushfire Management Strategy & Emergency Management Plan) b) insert a new Table 16-7A (Bushfire and emergency management mitigation and contingency measures), with a new mitigation measure BEM01 (Bushfire Management Strategy & Emergency Management Plan).	Supported. In relation to the measures for trail closures, barriers should only be placed across trails if and when these do not present a safety risk to riders.	The IAC finds: • The Emergency Management Plan must be prepared prior to construction of the Project and be tested for implementation prior to commencement of operations. It should be periodically reviewed and updated throughout the life of the Project. • The Emergency Management Plan must be developed in consultation with emergency and medical services at the municipal and local level, and local volunteer organisations including the Warburton Emergency Planning Group. • The Emergency Management Plan must place no reliance on the existing bushfire shelter options provided in the Project area to provide shelter for trail users. Instead, it must provide for trail users to be evacuated from the area when needed. • The Emergency Management Plan needs to detail how trail users will receive medical care, and how this will be communicated to trail users and to the broader community. • Any anticipated shortfall in volunteer capacity needs to be considered and planned for in the Emergency Management Plan.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted with the below exceptions. The new table and recommended CEMP and OEMP mitigation measure BEM01 (Bushfire Management Strategy & Emergency Management Plan) have been accepted, with the below exceptions and will be included in the CEMP and OEMP. Project closures at "high" fire danger days: Project closures at "high" fire danger days are not feasible, as a closure of the project at the second lowest fire rating would significantly impede the projects viability. Council propose a change closure criteria from "high" to "extreme". In accordance with this, Council will seek agreement with Parks Victoria to align the closure of the National Park with the closure of the trails, on 'extreme' fire danger days (as described in the Australian Fire Danger Rating System). Placing physical barriers across trails on closure days: Placing physical barriers along the alignment on closure days would pose significant hazards to users that are already in the trail network. In alignment with the ministers assessment the mitigation measure would be amended to add to the sentence end: ... <i>if and when these do not present a safety risk to riders.</i>	Update CEMP and OEMP
24	Other	Planning scheme amendment	PSA amendment	Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix G: a) amend Clause 9 (Emergency management).	Supported.	The IAC finds: • The Emergency Management Plan must be prepared prior to construction of the Project and be tested for implementation prior to commencement of operations. It should be periodically reviewed and updated throughout the life of the Project.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The incorporated document will be updated accordingly.	Update incorporated document
25	Other	Planning scheme amendment	PSA amendment	Amend the Incorporated Document as shown in Appendix G: a) amend Clauses 7.2(c) and 7.4(b).	Supported.	The IAC finds: • The Project is likely to exacerbate the affordable housing challenges in the area, through the 'AirBnB effect'. However access to secure affordable housing is a much broader issue, which requires a broader strategic and systemic response. There is a limit to what the Project can and should be expected to do to counter these issues. • The IAC strongly encourages Council to continue to pursue its efforts to address affordable housing issues in the municipality more broadly. It will need to closely monitor the supply of affordable rental housing as the Project gains popularity, and proactively respond to arising issues, employing whatever levers it has available (including actively facilitating and encouraging the development of short term accommodation in the area). • Conflicts between mountain bikers and other recreational users of the area can be managed to an acceptable level, including through mitigation measures SM06 that apply under both the CEMP and the OEMP. • The IAC is confident that while there will be the need for some adaption on the part of the local community, the Project will not destroy the social cohesion of this robust, close knit local community.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The incorporated document will be updated accordingly.	Update incorporated document
26	Other	Planning scheme amendment	PSA amendment	Amend draft Yarra Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment C198Yran as follows: a) Amend the exhibited Special Controls Overlap mapping to: • remove Trails 1, and 45 to 47 • include the whole of the Warburton Golf Course site at 17 Dammans Road, Warburton • include the land required for the trail heads at Wesburn Park and Mount Tugwell and the two bridges proposed to be constructed as part of the Project. b) Amend the Incorporated Document to replace references to the Road Zone Category 1 with references to the Transport Zone.	Supported, however I do not support extending the SCO to include the whole of the Warburton Golf Course.	The IAC finds: • The planning controls in the draft PSA constitute an appropriate mechanism to facilitate the Project. • The exhibited SCO mapping should be adjusted to: - apply the SCO to the whole of the Golf Course site - apply the SCO to the land needed for the Wesburn Park and Mount Tugwell trail heads and the two bridges proposed to be constructed as part of the Project - remove the SCO from Trail 1 and Trails 45 to 47. • The Incorporated Document should be the Day 1 version (D48), with: - further modifications as recommended by the IAC - the Road Zone Category 1 reference updated to reflect changes to the Planning Scheme made by VC205 (which replaced the	The Ministers response to the recommendations have been accepted. The referenced Special Controls Overlap mapping of the draft Yarra Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment C198Yran will be updated accordingly. Note: The land required for the Wesburn Park trail head has not been included in the documentation, as the existing Wesburn park master plan and controls cover this part of the project.	Update Special Controls Overlap mapping of the draft Yarra Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment C198Yran
27	Other	Environmental Management Framework	EMF amendment	Amend the Environmental Management Framework as shown in Appendix F: a) Amend Section 16.2 (Roles and responsibilities) to describe the requirements for the Project ecologist. b) Amend all references to an ecologist to refer to 'suitably qualified independent ecologists'	Supported.	The IAC finds: • The monitoring, auditing and reporting requirements in the EMF are appropriate, and have been translated into the CEMP and OEMP. This will ensure there is appropriate accountability and transparency in relating to the construction and operation of the Project.	The recommendation and Ministers response have been accepted. The CEMP and OEMP will be updated accordingly. It is understood, that "independent" in this context means a person that is not a direct employee of Yarra Ranges Council or the Project	Update CEMP and OEMP